**2016 CCG Campus Plan Updates: Peer Review Rubric**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Reviewer | Institution being reviewed | Strategy |
|  |  |  |

For each strategy reviewed, please respond to how well the report does the following. Please provide concrete suggestions for improvement or note elements you feel are especially noteworthy.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Not at All | Somewhat | Well | Very Well | Exceptionally |
| 1 | The institution has identified an appropriate strategy to meet its completion goal.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |
| 2 | The report provides clear linkages between the strategy and their institutional mission and student body. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: |
| 3 | The report clearly describes how this strategy is high impact or high priority at their institution. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |
| 4 | The activities the institution has pursued are clearly described and are aligned with the strategy and the goal(s). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |
| 5 | It is clear that successful implementation of this strategy will have a significant impact on college completion. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Not at All | Somewhat | Well | Very Well | Exceptionally |
| 6 | The report conveys a well defined process for assessing progress with this strategy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |
| 7 | The institution has identified metrics to evaluate the success of the strategy. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |
| 8 | The identified metrics accurately assess the outcome(s) of the strategy. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |
| 9 | Baseline, Interim and Outcome metrics are aligned, measurable and defined. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |
| 10 | Report outlines steps to address challenges or barriers to fully implementing this strategy. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments |